

## REMARKS

The Title and Abstract have been amended so that they better conform to that which is claimed. The amendments to the Title and Abstract do not add new matter.

Nine new claims numbered 23-31 are presented. These claims do not present new matter. Claims 22-31 are now pending in this case. Support for claim 23 is found, at least, on p. 28, line 9 - p. 29, line 25. Support for claim 24 is found, at least, on p. 27, lines 1-5. Support for claim 25 is found, at least, on p. 7, lines 1-11. Support for claim 26 is found, at least, on p. 27, lines 20-21. Support for claim 27 is found, at least, on p. 28, line 9 - p. 29, line 25. Support for claim 28 is found, at least, on p. 7, lines 1-11; and on p. 27, line 1 - p. 28, line 25. Support for claim 29 is found, at least, on p. 27, lines 20-21. Support for claim 30 is found, at least, on p. 28, line 9 - p. 29, line 25. Support for claim 31 is found, at least, on p. 27, lines 21-28.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the Title and Abstract by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned **VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE.**

### Rejection of Claim 22 Under 35 U.S.C. 102(e)

Applicant's claim 22 stands rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamby et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,848,274; hereinafter referred to as "Hamby").

Applicant's claim 22 recites:

22. A method of resolving condensed computer code having a plurality of types of code structures, each of the types of code structures including a plurality of index references, the method comprising the steps of:

reading a list of identifiers for each type of code structure, each list including an index reference corresponding to each of the identifiers in the list; and

replacing each of the index references in the computer code with the respective identifier corresponding to each respective index reference.

In rejecting applicant's claim 22, the Examiner equates "reading a list of identifiers for each type of code structure" with Hamby's disclosure of "an Incremental

C

Imager which forms the program image from code objects and their respective intermediate language (IL) symbols stored in a persistent symbol table.” (Hamby, col. 5, lines 55-57). However, the Examiner is referred to column 12, lines 55-58, of Hamby, wherein it is stated:

In the system of the present invention, code objects and intermediate language symbols are stored in persistent memory as a persistent symbol table. ***There is one persistent symbol table for the entire program.***

(Emphasis added.)

In light of the above statement, applicant’s claim 22 is believed to be allowable over Hamby at least for the reason that applicant’s claim 22 sets forth a “method for resolving condensed computer code ***having a plurality of types of code structures***”, wherein a “*list* of identifiers” is read “***for each type of code structure***”. Applicant’s claim 22 therefore requires the reading of a plurality of lists, whereas Hamby states that an “entire program” has only “one persistent symbol table”. As a result, applicant’s claim 22 is believed to be allowable over Hamby.

#### Newly Presented Claims 23-29

Claim 23 is believed to be allowable at least because it depends from an allowable claim 22.

Claim 24 is believed to be allowable in that it discloses a novel and unobvious way to transmit computer code to a user system (i.e., as condensed computer code, and one or more lists that map index references found in the condensed computer code to code structures that would be found in executable computer code). The transmission of condensed computer code and one or more lists is not contemplated by Hamby.

Claims 25 and 26 are believed to be allowable at least because they depend from an allowable claim 24.

C

Claim 27 is believed to be allowable, in part, for the same reasons that claim 24 is believed to be allowable. Claims 28 and 29 are believed to be allowable at least because they depend from an allowable claim 27.

**CONCLUSION**

Applicant requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,  
DAHL & OSTERLOTH, L.L.P.

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
Gregory W. Osterloth  
Reg. No. 36,232  
555 Seventeenth Street, Suite 3405  
Denver, CO 80202  
Tel: (303) 291-3200  
FAX: (303) 291-3201

✓

**VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE**

**In the Title:**

The Title has been amended as follows:

--[SYSTEM AND APPARATUS] METHODS FOR [COMPRESSING  
SOFTWARE] PROCESSING CONDENSED COMPUTER CODE--

**In the Claims:**

New claims 23-31 have been presented.

**In the Abstract:**

The Abstract has been deleted, and a new Abstract has been presented.